

Response to email:

The submission of the HCI sound study was not a factor in the Board's decision to table the ordinance. The Board's decision to table the ordinance was made independently of that submission and should not be interpreted as a response to HCI.

Moreover, the Township's review of the HCI commissioned acoustical study demonstrated some concerns about its rigor, approach and conclusions. The Township has not accepted the HCI study as definitive, nor had the Township relied on the HCI study—which is obvious inasmuch as the sound levels contained in the draft DCO exceed the levels set forth the HCI study.

The Board has no connection with the experts who provided the technical information for the Hanover Group. Neither the Board nor the Township has met with the HCI experts to discuss their report.

Below is a breakdown of some of the timeline and the outcome:

- **May 20, 2026**-Hanover Company emailed the Board requesting permission to bring an expert to meeting on May 26 for sound demonstration. Chairman Cordaro informed them there was not anything on agenda for Data Center. Vice-Chairman Tomasic requested to NOT have them on agenda, and they could come and have 3 minutes in Public Comment. Solicitor recommended submitting study to Board Members without participation in any meeting.
- **May 21, 2026**-Hanover Group responded it would be beneficial for Board to have copy of acoustical study.
- **June 1, 2026**- Received letter from citizen Greg Burgdorff with relevant acoustical information.
- **June 8, 2026**-Hanover Group submitted study (late afternoon). Board received it via email, but it was not made part of packet because there was no agenda item.
- **June 9, 2026**-Approved acoustical engineer contract for hire as expert for the Township.
- **June 23, 2026** -To provide full transparency, Supervisor Busher explained that the ATCS Acoustical Engineer item has been tabled because the Township will be retaining them as an expert witness at the ZHB.

The Board determined that additional time was appropriate to review the input from the community members such as Mr. Burgdorff (above) and the other issues before proceeding any further. With the significance of the Ordinance and the information from the public, the Board wanted to carefully consider the information, ensure the information was appropriate and enforceable and act in the best interests of the Township and its residents.

Nothing in the HCI study has influenced the Board's decision on either: 1) sound levels; or 2) parcels to include or exclude. The HCI study has had, to date, no appreciable influence on the Township's decisions with respect to the DCO.

The Board of Supervisors will continue to review the proposed overlay in accordance with Planning considerations, zoning requirements, surrounding land uses, potential impacts on neighboring properties, professional and technical information and input from their legal counsel.

The Board has not really reviewed/studied the Hanover Group document that was submitted. The Board has no connection with the experts who provided the technical information for the Hanover Group and

remains committed to considering all relevant information objectively and through the appropriate public process.

The Board has not coordinated with PPL Electric or discussed any of Hanover's Group development or infrastructure associated with their Data Center Proposal.